

Report on SPG Working Group Break Out Discussions

Richard Ullman
Ming-Hsiang Tsou
October 27, 2005
ES-DSWG Baltimore

- Outreach to grass roots
 - direct interaction with individuals from different communities
 - representation at meetings & conferences (science team meetings, ESIP Fed, AGU, IGARSS)

- Presentations Tuesday [1:30 5:00]
 - Exploration of Earth science data system community stakeholders practices that might have applicability as a technical note or standard.
- Joint Breakout Wednesday [8:30 10:00]
 - Joint meeting with Standards, Reuse & Infusion Working Groups
 - Are there common concerns? How can we coordinate? Is there a need for a formal process?
- HDF discussion Wednesday [10:15 12:00]
 - what parts of HDF are appropriate as NASA ESDSWG standard?
 - explanation of different HDF versions.
 - profiles as standards or technical notes.
 - what constitutes multiple implementations?
- Process Improvement Thursday [10:15 12:00]
 - Improvements, Clarifications, Specific Issues
 - Workshop Wrap up and write report-out.

- Current list of expected incoming RFCs
 - WMS Candidate std (Sam)
 - ECHO Tech Note (ECHO team)
 - HDF-EOS (Larry Klein)
 - AURA (Atmospheric Composition) Data Profile (Cheryl)
 - HDF5 (HDF Group)
 - Backtrack search algorithm tech note (Ross Swick)
 - WCS? (Liping)

- Current list of potential incoming RFCs
 - GeoTIFF (Frank Warmerdam?)
 - HDF4 (future need with Data Lifecycle) need sponsor
 - SIPs interface? (Chris Lynnes)
 - ESML?
 - Vegetation Classification
 - Level 2 product content standard for precipitation product
 - Surface reflectance product science content standard

- Awareness of the Tech Infusion Capabilities roadmap for identifying potential tech areas for joint activities
- Document rationale for general case of coordination among groups
- Chairs will work together after meeting to produce a plan to get a focus group on a particular tech topic like web services to initiate a cross wg
- SPG cannot narrow focus of RFCs to a particular technology area.
- Infusion will encourage use of Tech Notes to document conventions encouraging people to use common interfaces, etc

- Discussion of how to make policy recommendations (in addition to RFCs)
- Decisions related to how we will handle editorial and substantive changes to current RFCs.
- Document and link management.
 - keep copy of any endorsed version and link to the maintaining organization for later updates
- Profiles can be endorsed without full review of the base standard.
- How small a community?
 - Size doesn't matter ... what matters is interest. Trust the community to assess relevance.

- RFC-004 recommendation status?
 - Concern that the endorsement of RFC-004 has not happened yet.
 - Conclude that best course is to move forward with other RFC's rather than dwell on 004.
- How can our working group be more effective and useful to the Earth science programs?
 - We want to make the connections from grass roots to the top.
 - Brainstorming ideas (but no recommendation) :
 - Steering committee with program managers representation
 - Invite individuals to come to individual working group sessions/telecons - We can do this now.